

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,769	04/04/2001	Atsushi Itoh	205553US3	1441
22850	7590 12/19/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPI	IVAK, MCCLELLAND,	KRISHNAN, SUMATI		
	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2875	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annliantica	No	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		Application	NO.	Applicant(s)			
		09/824,769		ITOH, ATSUSHI			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Sumati Kris		2875			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event oly within the statuto will apply and will e e, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tition to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 (<u> October 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) 2-7 and 9-26 is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1,27-29 and 32 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 30 and 31 is/are objected to. ✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
	ion Papers						
9)[] 10)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin Theorem 1.	cepted or b) e drawing(s) be ction is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
* 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign AII b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document according to the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest seference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest seference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest seference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest seference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest seference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest seference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest set of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest set of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest set of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for document is made	nts have been ority document au (PCT Rule of the certificatic priority undirect sentence of the certification of the certifica	received. received in Applicati ts have been received 17.2(a)). ed copies not received ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) of the specification or lication has been received ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachme	nt(s)						
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

It is the opinion of the office that Yano's threaded holes 1b qualify as the claimed "nuts mounted through the thin metallic frame by being directly threaded." Therefore, claims 1, 27-29 and 32 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 27-29, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yano et al (US 6594143).

In regard to claim 1, Yano discloses a display device comprising a display portion (see figure 6), the display portion including electronic parts (see for example, panels 6 and 9) for driving the display portion through external signals, which are located inside of the display portion, mechanical parts (see for example back frame 8) for fixing the electronic parts to specified positions within the display portion, see column 8 lines 22-40, wherein the mechanical parts comprise at least a frame, (element 8) and nuts (threaded hole, elements 1b) mounted

through the thin metallic frame (threaded holes extend through the frame, see fig. 6), by being caulked or by being directly threaded (Yano's nuts are directly threaded).

Yano does not disclose the back frame 8 being a thing metallic frame. However, it is well known in the art to use a thin metallic frame as the frame for the LCD display module. Also, since weight of the display device is increasingly becoming an issue in the art, thinner metals are increasingly being used in order to provide lighter display devices. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a thin metal as the material for the back frame 8 of Yano.

Regarding claim 27, Yano discloses the display device of claim 1, wherein the nuts are designed to mount the display device (figure 6) to an external device (see bottom frame, element 2, as shown in figure 5).

Regarding claims 28-29, Yano's nuts are designed to mount a predetermined accessory part (bottom frame 2) to a rear surface of the display device, see figure 5.

Regarding claim 32, Yano does not explicitly disclose displaying of images by any other method other than birefringence of liquid crystal. However, it is well known in the art to employ an electron-emitting device, a display device utilizing plasma discharge, a device using electro-luminescence, minute pixels disposed in an array manner and electron guns disposed to correspond to each of the pixels, and minute optical reflectors (known as digital micro-mirror devices) disposed in an array as the means for emitting light. Applicant has not disclosed how the invention depends on utilizing specifically one of the above devices. The result of each of these methods are the same, to enable an image to display on the screen. Therefore, it would

have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use any one of these methods as the method of display.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 –7 and 9-26 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record neither shows nor suggests a screw inserting portion of the nuts exposed to an outer surface of the display portion of the display device, nor the nuts mounted to a lateral surface of the display device.

Claims 30-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record neither shows nor suggests the accessory part as claimed in claim 28 being an electrical circuit part.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/824,769

Art Unit: 2875

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumati Krishnan whose telephone number is 703-305-7906. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 4:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 703-305-4939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

SK

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Page 5